- 12. Ibid O 29A r 17.
- 13. Ibid O 29A r 18.
- 14. Ibid O 29A r 18A.
- 15. Ibid O 29A r 20.
- 16. Ibid O 29A r 21(1).

[325-705] Expedited List Cases entered in the Expedited List (the List are conducted under the supervision of a judge appointed by the Chief June to be an Expedited List judge (a 'List judge'). A party to a proceeding mapply to have it entered in the List maintained by the Registrar. Where are is entered in the List the heading of every document must show the work 'Expedited List' and the party obtaining the order for entry must, within send days, file and serve a summons for directions setting out a proposed timent for interlocutory steps as well as other directions sought. Other parties must two clear days before the return date of the summons for directions, provide all other parties with a memorandum setting out the proposed directions that are consented to and those that are opposed. The List judge may on the application of a party or of his or her own motion give directions, regardles of whether these are inconsistent with any other provision of the rules, for the speedy and inexpensive determination of the real issues between the parties.

Notes

- 1. (WA) Rules of the Supreme Court O 31A. See also (WA) Consolidated Proter Directions Practice Direction 4.6.2 (expedited processes for urgent order (reproduced in Seaman P, Civil Procedure Western Australia, LexisNexis, [7020.62])
- 2. (WA) Rules of the Supreme Court O 31A r 1.
- 3. Whether a case is entered into the List depends upon the nature of the procedure and whether it is amenable to the special procedures of the List: Rothwells Ltd [6] 1 Peng [1990] 1 WAR 380 at 382.
- 4. A proceeding is entered in the List only upon an order of a List judge (WA) Rule of the Supreme Court O 31A r 2.
- 5. Ibid O 31A r 1.
- 6. Ibid O 31A r 3.
- 7. Ibid O 29 r 5(1).
- 8. Ibid O 31A r 4.
- 9. Ibid O 31A r 4(3).
- 10. Ibid O 31A r 5(3).

[The next page is 594,225]

(A) INTRODUCTION

Forms of originating
Choice of forum
Choice of originating
Claims in foreign (

COMMENC

(B) HIGH COURT OF Commencement o

(c) FEDERAL COURT
Commencement o
Form of originatin

(p) AUSTRALIAN CAPI Commencement c

(E), NORTHERN TERP Commencement of Form of originatus

(F) NEW SOUTH WAI

Commencement (
Form of originati

(G) QUEENSLAND
Commencement
Form of application

(H) SOUTH AUSTRAL
Commencement
Form of originati

(1) TASMANIA AND V Commencement Form of writ . . Form of originat

O CORPORATIONS
Proceedings unde

6 LexisNexis Butterworths

© LexisNexis Butter

Jungment and execution

and Amendment

Interlocutory Proceedings

(4) COMMENCEMENT OF PROCEEDINGS

Contents

		Para
(A)	INTRODUCTION	
(0)	Forms of originating process	[325-1000]
	Choice of forum	[325-1005]
	Choice of originating process	[325-1010]
	Claims in foreign currency	[325-1015]
(B)	HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1020]
(C)	FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA	
5	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1025]
	Form of originating process	[325-1030]
(D)	AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1035]
	Form of originating process	[325-1040]
(E)	Northern Territory and Victoria	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1045]
	Form of originating process	[325–1050]
(F)	New South Wales	
	procedures.	[325-1055]
	Form of originating process	[325-1060]
(G)	Queensland	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325–1065]
Tiva:	Form of application	[325-1070]
(H)	SOUTH AUSTRALIA	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1075]
(-)	Form of originating process	[325-1080]
(1)	TASMANIA AND WESTERN AUSTRALIA	
	Commencement of proceedings	[325-1085]
	Form of writ	[325-1090]
(+)	Form of originating process other than writ	[325-1095]
(J)	CORPORATIONS PROCEEDINGS	
	Proceedings under the (CTH) Corporations Act	
0.71	2001	[325-1100]

exisNexis Butterwork

dited List (the List) I by the Chief Justice

to a proceeding magistrar. 5 Where a car iust show the word ry must, within sever a proposed timetable Other parties must or directions, provide posed directions that judge may on the

directions, regardless

of the rules, for the etween the parties.

1) Consolidated Practice es for urgent orden LexisNexis, [7020.6.2]

ature of the proceeding list: Rothwells Ltd (in lis

List judge: (WA) Ruin

C LexisNexis Butterworths

594,225

This chapter was updated by

Roger Douglas BA(Hons) LLB(Hons)(Melb) MPhil(Yale) PhD(La Trobe Barrister and Solicitor of the Supreme Court of Victoria Associate Professor in Law, La Trobe University

(a) INTRODUCTION

[325-1000] Forms of originating process The essential requirement the underlies all rules concerning the commencement of proceedings is that are proceeding must be commenced by an authentic court document which state the nature and extent of the claim made or the remedy or relief sought Proceedings are commenced when originating process is issued or, where the rules so provide, when filed.² Except where there is a requirement that leave to institute proceedings be obtained, the issue of originating process is a matter of right.3

Proceedings instituted within any relevant limitation period by soliciton acting without the authority of the named plaintiff or applicant may be ratifed by the person so named,4 even after the expiration of that relevant limitation period. 5 Whether proceedings may be ordered to be taken as having been commenced on an earlier date nunc pro tunc6 appears to be an open question.

The forms of originating process that originated in England with the enactment of the (UK) Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1873 (repealed) and the (UK) Supreme Court of Judicature Act 1875 (repealed) were the writ of summons, originating summons, petition and originating motion. Those forms of process were adopted in the High Court of Australia and in the Supreme Courts of the States by rules that largely followed the (UK) Rules of the Supreme Court made in 1883. Those rules drew a distinction between the various forms of originating process on the basis that an action⁸ was commenced by writ of summons, a written application to the court was made by petition," an oral application to the court was made on motion and an application to 1 judge in chambers 10 was made by summons.

The rules in force in Western Australia retain these forms of originating process. 11 The rules in force in Tasmania largely follow the 1883 English rules but provide for different forms of originating process. 12 The rules of the other Australian jurisdictions provide for other forms of originating process.

Notes

- The Espanoleto [1920] P 223 at 225; (1920) 125 LT 121 per Hill J; Chency v Section (1920) 41 CVP 223 (1929) 41 CLR 532 at 536-7; [1929] ALR 173 per Isaacs and Gavan Duffy JJ. State 1052017. v Staples [1952] VLR 25 at 27; [1952] ALR 62 per Barry J; Rawson v Williams [184] 2 Qd R 287.
- 2. (CTH) High Court Rules 2004 r 20.01 (public law relief, applications for remove to High Court of Australia, and election matters are commenced when original process is filed, but writ of summons is commenced when summons is issued (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1(1)

Court Procedures Rules 2006 r 32(1)

(NSW) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 r 6,2(1)

594,226

© LexisNexis Buttersorts

(SA) (VIC) There are 1 The PLM &

(1881) 8 C P Trevor (19

- Danish Men Estates Pty Teachers Cri per Ormist on behalf c ratified by Presentacion
- WLR 660, BC930006 Toronto Rai
- Cash 1º FH [1965] Tas
- Herbert Ber Lord Simo. by writ); Pa Parkin v Jar the term 'a other than
- 9. A 'petition basis of wh Williams' (
- 10. The distir jurisdiction 93-6; [193 judges sitt generally s
- 11. As to orig
- 12. As to original
- 13. As to orig

[325-1005] Ch of forum in wh: defendant will be upon persuading it is clearly an non-Australian tr which would ent the proceeding ha attention to be d appropriateness o tribunal.2 A defe of proceedings of of the availability defendant is am oppression or inj

C LexisNexis Butterw

Judgment and Execution

and Amendment

e) PhD(La Trobe

requirement that dings is that are nent which states or relief sough: ed or, where the ement that leave rocess is a matter

iod by solicitor; t may be ratified levant limitation as having been open question. igland with the 3 (repealed) and were the writ of on. Those forms in the Supreme () Rules of the on between the was commenced ide by petition.' application to 1

s of originating 33 English rules iles of the other process. 13

J; Cheney v Spoone an Duffy JJ; Suple n v Williams [1984]

cations for removawhen originative nons is issued!

sNexis Butterwords

Supreme Court Civil Rules 2006 r 34(1)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.11. (SA) (VIC)

There are no equivalent provisions in the other jurisdictions.

The PLM 8 [1920] P 236 at 241; (1920) 123 LT 560 per Hill J; Clarke v Bradlaugh (1881) 8 QBD 63 at 68-9; [1881-85] All ER Rep 1002 per Brett LJ, CA; Findlay v Trevor (1993) 11 ACLC 483 at 487 per Malcolm CJ, SC(WA).

- Danish Mercantile Co Ltd v Beaumont [1951] Ch 680; [1951] 1 All ER 925, CA; Omega Estates Pty Ltd v Ganke [1963] NSWR 1416; (1962) 80 WN (NSW) 1218; Victoria Teachers Credit Union Ltd v KPMG (2000) 1 VR 654 at 662 per Tadgell JA, at 664 per Ormiston JA; [2000] VSCA 23; BC200000809. Similarly, proceedings instituted on behalf of a corporation by a director without the corporation's authority may be ratified by the corporation: Re Manias; Ex parte Edsill Pty Ltd (1986) 15 FCR 1.
- Presentaciones Musicales SA v Secunda [1994] Ch 271; [1994] 2 All ER 737; [1994] 2 WLR 660, CA; Adams v Elphinstone (unreported, SC(TAS), Zeeman J, 22 June 1993, BC9300066) noted (1993) 2 Tas R (NC) N14.
- Toronto Railway Co v King [1908] AC 260 at 270; (1908) 98 LT 650, PC.
- Cash v FH Stephens Pty Ltd (unreported, SC(TAS), Burbury CJ, 7 June 1965) noted [1965] Tas SR (NC) N10.
- 8. Herbert Berry Assocs Ltd v IRC [1978] 1 All ER 161 at 170; [1977] 1 WLR 1437 per Lord Simon, HL (meaning of 'action' is the invocation of the jurisdiction of the court by writ); Parkin v James (1905) 2 CLR 315 at 342 sub nom In the Will of Lister (dec'd); Parkin v James (1905) 2 CLR 315; 11 ALR 142 (some jurisdictions no longer employ the term 'action' and other jurisdictions have defined the term so that proceedings other than those commenced by writ fall within it).
- A 'petition' is a written statement addressed to the court setting forth facts upon the basis of which the petitioner prays for a particular remedy or relief: see, for example, Williams' Civil Procedure Victoria, LexisNexis, Vol 1, [I 4.01.25].
- 10. The distinction between court and chambers has not been maintained in all jurisdictions: see, for example, Medical Board of Victoria v Meyer (1937) 58 CLR 62 at 93-6; [1937] ALR 573; (1937) 11 ALJ 194 per Dixon J (origins of the practice of judges sitting in chambers). As to the distinction between court and chambers generally see COURTS AND JUDICIAL SYSTEM.
- As to originating process in Western Australia see [325-1085]-[325-1095].
- 12. As to originating process in Tasmania see [325-1085]-[325-1095].
- 13. As to originating process in the other jurisdictions see [325-1025]-[325-1080].

[325-1005] Choice of forum A plaintiff or applicant has the initial choice of forum in which to commence an originating proceeding. Ordinarily, a defendant will be entitled to an order for a stay or dismissal of a proceeding upon persuading the court in which the proceeding has been commenced that is clearly an inappropriate forum by reason of the availability of a non-Australian tribunal to whose jurisdiction the defendant is amenable and which would entertain the matter. The question whether the court in which the proceeding has been commenced is clearly an inappropriate forum requires attention to be directed to the inappropriateness of that court and not to the appropriateness or comparative appropriateness of the suggested non-Australian tribunal.2 A defendant will not be entitled to an order for a stay or dismissal of proceedings on the basis that the selected forum is inappropriate by reason of the availability of some other Australian tribunal to whose jurisdiction the defendant is amenable unless there is something amounting to vexation, oppression or injustice to the defendant. The cross-vesting legislation confers

C Levis Nexts Butterworths

Service 310

594,227

upon each State and Territory Supreme Court the original and appear jurisdiction of each of the others and of the Federal Court of Australia and therefore limits to circumstances in which it may be said that a court lacks jurisdiction, as disconfrom the court being an inappropriate forum.

Notes

- 1. Voth v Manildra Flour Mills Pty Ltd (1990) 171 CLR 538; 97 ALR 124; 65 ALR Balescope Pty Ltd v Pegasus Leasing Ltd (1994) 125 ALR 483, SC(SA) (stating the law Manildra Flour Mills Pty Ltd (1990) 171 CLR 538; 97 ALR 124; 65 ALR 65 algaphies to an Australian tribunal or court); ZP v PS (1994) 181 CLR 639; 12 ALR 124; 17 Fam LR 600 per Mason CJ, Toohey and McHugh JJ, HC of A (inappropriate forum test is for competitions between Australian courts and overseas tribunal 4 to the inappropriate forum test see further CONFLICT OF LAWS [85-470].
- Voth v Manildra Flour Mills Pty Ltd (1990) 171 CLR 538 at 564-5; 97 ALR 124:55
 ALJR 83 per Mason CJ, Deane, Dawson and Gaudron JJ; Oceanic Sun Line Spots Shipping Co Inc v Fay (1988) 165 CLR 197 at 247-8; 79 ALR 9; 62 ALJR 38; per Deane J; Spiliada Maritime Corp v Cansulex Ltd (The Spiliada) [1987] AC 460 at 473. [1986] 3 All ER 843; [1986] 3 WLR 972 per Lord Goff LJ.
- 3. Cope Allman (Aust) Ltd v Celermajer (1968) 11 FLR 488; Balescope Pty Ltd v Popular Leasing Ltd (1994) 125 ALR 483, SC(SA).
- (CTH) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987
 (ACT) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1993
 (NT) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987
 (NSW) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987
 (QLD) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987
 (SA) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987
 (TAS) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987

(VIC) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987 (VIC) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987 (WA) Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act 1987.

As to transfer of proceedings under the cross-vesting scheme generally at [325-240]-[325-275].

[325-1010] Choice of originating process The appropriate form of originating process is largely provided for by the relevant rules of court. In some jurisdictions, the rules expressly provide that where the rules make no provision or the matter is doubtful, an order may be made as to the appropriate form in which proposed proceedings are to be commenced.²

In some jurisdictions, the consequences of commencing proceedings by the wrong form of originating process are alleviated by provisions:

(1) that the court must not wholly set aside proceedings or the originating process by which they were commenced on the ground that the wrong form of originating process has been used;³

(2) to the effect that the use of the wrong form of originating process does not render the proceeding a nullity or does not have such effect unless otherwise ordered; or

(3) that permit the making of an order relieving a party from the consequences of having commenced proceedings by means of the wrong form of originating process.⁶

Notes

- 1. As to the vary [325-1020]-[325-10 lists, proceedings are way to indicate the [325-615]-[325-70]:
- 2. (CTH) Federal Conformencing a appropriate directic (NT) Supreme Commencing a appropriate directic (VIC) Supreme Commencing or is doubtful the There are no equi
- 3. (NT) Supreme (NSW) Uniform (QLD) Uniform (VIC) Supreme (WA) Rules of There are no equi
- 4. (ACT) Court Prc
 an irregularity onl
 (NT) Supreme
 (NSW) Civil Pro
 irregularity only)
 (QLD) Uniform
 is an irregularity o
 (TAS) Supreme
 that it was commo
 (VIC) Supreme
 (WA) Rules of
 There are no equ
 - 5. (CTH) High Co (ACT) Court Pr (TAS) Supreme a proceeding void There are no equ
- 6. (CTH) High Co amendment) (ACT) Court Pro with an irregulari (NT) Supreme should have been been commenced (NSW) Uniform consequences of l have been comn commenced by st (QLD) Uniform proceeding conti directions and ma (TAS) Supreme that an action wil that an applicatio court)

6 LexisNexis Butterworths

and Amendment

Interlocutory Proceedings

As to the sarying trues for the different jurisdictions generally see [325-1020]-[325-1095]. In those jurisdictions where provision is made for specialist varying rules for the different jurisdictions [325-1020] Notes lists, proceedings are to be entered into the relevant list: see [325-615]-[325-705].

(CTH) Federal Court Rules O 1 r 9(1) (where the manner or form of the procedure for commencing a proceeding is not prescribed or is doubtful the court may give Supreme Court Rules r 1.11(1) (where the manner or form of the procedure appropriate directions)

(N1) superior of the proceeding is not prescribed or is doubtful the court may give

appropriate (VIC) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 1.15(1) (where the manner or form of the procedure for commencing a proceeding is not prescribed or is doubtful the court may give appropriate directions). There are no equivalent provisions in the other jurisdictions.

Supreme Court Rules r 2.02 3. (NT)

(NSW) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 rr 6.5, 6.6

(QLD) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 r 373

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 2.02

Rules of the Supreme Court O 2 r 1(3). (VIC)

There are no equivalent provisions in the other jurisdictions.

4. (ACT) Court Procedures Rules 2006 r 1450(1) (noncompliance with the rules is

an irregularity only) Supreme Court Rules r 2.01(1)

(NSW) Civil Procedure Act 2005 s 63(2) (noncompliance with the rules is an

(QLD) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 r 371 (noncompliance with the rules

(TAS) Supreme Court Rules 2000 r 15 (a proceeding is not void solely on grounds that it was commenced by the wrong process)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 2.01(1)

Rules of the Supreme Court O 2 r 1(1).

There are no equivalent provisions in the other jurisdictions.

5. (CTH) High Court Rules 2004 r 2.03

(ACT) Court Procedures Rules 2006 rr 38, 39

(TAS) Supreme Court Rules 2000 r 13 (noncompliance with rules does not render a proceeding void unless so ordered).

There are no equivalent provisions in the other jurisdictions.

6. (CTH) High Court Rules 2004 r 2,03.3(b) (court may make an order for

(ACT) Court Procedures Rules 2006 r 39 (court or a judge may make orders dealing with an irregularity)

Supreme Court Rules r 4.07 (if an originating motion is used when a writ should have been used the court may order that the proceeding continue as if it had

(NSW) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 rr 6.5 (plaintiff may be relieved of the consequences of having commenced by statement of claim, proceedings that should have been commenced by summons), 6.6 (proceedings that should have been commenced by statement of claim)

(QLD) Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 rr 13(2), 14(2) (court may order that proceeding continue as if started by correct originating process and may give

directions and make orders it considers appropriate) (TAS) Supreme Court Rules 2000 r 95 (court or a judge may at any time order that an action will proceed as if it had been begun by application and vice versa, or that an application to the court or a judge be continued in chambers or before the

y means of the exisNexis Butterworth 6 LexisNexis Butterworths

594,229

Service 310

l and appelle

ustralia and the

efore limits to

ction, as disting

124; 65 ALJR 1) (stating that 1/4

; 65 ALJR 83 only

LR 639; 122 AL

of A (inappropriate

erseas tribunals 1, [85-470].

-5; 97 ALR 124:55

nic Sun Line Speak

1; 62 ALJR 389 per

7] AC 460 at 477-

se Pty Lid v Pegra

teme generally see

opriate form of

f court. In some ake no provision

ropriate form in

oceedings by the

r the originating

d that the wrong

ting process does

such effect unless

party from the

Judgment and Execution

(VIC) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 4.07(1) (Court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a writ should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been used the court meet when a write should have been a write (VIC) Supreme Court (General Civil Flocking) originating motion is used when a writ should have been used the court may one originating motion is used when a writ should have been used the court may one originating motion is used when a writ should have been used the court may one originating motion is used when a writ should have been used the court may one or th that the proceeding continue as if it had been commenced by writ) that the proceeding continue as it is that the proceedings generally).

(WA) Rules of the Supreme Court O 2 r 1(2) (court or a judge may make only and dealing with the proceedings generally). There are no equivalent provisions in the Federal Court of Australia and Society of Australia and Australia Australia (where there is a single originating process).

[325-1015] Claims in foreign currency Proceedings may be commenced in a foreign currency in which the plaintiff claims a sum expressed in a foreign currency where the

(1) a debt arising under a contract expressed in the currency of a country whose law is the proper law of the contract and whose currency is the currency of account;1 and

(2) damages for breach of contract or in tort and where damages should be paid in the currency that best represents the loss suffered.2

Notes

- 1. Miliangos v George Frank (Textiles) Ltd [1976] AC 443; [1975] 3 All ER 801; [1975] 3 WLR 758, HL; Isaac Naylor & Sons Ltd v New Zealand Co-op Wool Marketing Aus Ltd [1981] 1 NZLR 361 at 364-5, CA(NZ).
- The Despina R [1979] AC 685; [1979] 1 All ER 421; [1978] 3 WLR 804, HL; Miss. OSK Lines Ltd v The Ship 'Mineral Transporter' [1983] 2 NSWLR 564 (revened on other grounds Candlewood Navigation Corp Ltd v Mitsui OSK Lines Ltd (The Mines Transporter and Ibaraki Maru) (1985) 3 NSWLR 159; [1986] AC 1; [1985] 2 All ER 935, PC); Foti v Banque Nationale de Paris (No 1) (1989) 54 SASR 354; 149 LSS 41

(b) HIGH COURT OF AUSTRALIA

[325-1020] Commencement of proceedings The (CTH) High Court Rules 2004 divide proceedings into:

(1) applications for public law writs (mandamus, prohibition, certionni, habeas corpus and quo warranto);

(2) applications to remove proceedings to the High Court of Australia under section 40 of the (CTH) Judiciary Act 1903;

(3) disputes about the validity of elections and election returns; and

(4) other proceedings.

Applications for public law writs are commenced by an application for an order to show cause why the relevant writ should not issue.2 Proceeding to remove proceedings are commenced by application.3 Applications must be a the prescribed form, accompanied by supporting affidavits and must be served no later than 90 days after the date of issue. 6 Elections proceedings at commenced by petition, following which the petitioner is to publish copies of the petition in relevant government Gazettes, and in a newspaper circulain in the electorate. Within 28 days, the petitioner must serve the petition on the candidate whose election is challenged and on others who have responded to the publication of the petition by giving an address for service.

Service 310 594,230 © LexisNexis Butternats

proceedings are comm procribed form, 10 and of claim. 11 The writ of date it is issued. 12 The event of choice of the

Votes

- 1. (CTH) High C
- 2. 1bid r 25.01.
 - 3. Ibid r 26.01.
 - 4. Ibid rr 25.01(a),
 - applications).
- 5. Ibid rr 25.01(f)
 - 6. Ibid rr 25.01(g)
- 7. Ibid r 30.01. 8. Ibid r 30.02.
- 9. Ibid r 30.04.
- 10. Ibid r 27.01(a),
- 11. Ibid r 27.01(c).
- 12. Ibid r 27.01(f).
- 13. However ibid r .
 - has been failure

(c) FE

[325-1025] Comme Australia is created by jurisdiction by various referred to as 'proceed

Notes

- 1. (CTH) Federal
- 2. Ibid s 4.

[325-1030] Form o the Federal Court of I tules otherwise provid must be in the prescrib claim any exemplary of determination of a qu must be accompanied The application must addresses of the appli solicitor.9 If the applic application must be in

C LexisNexis Butterworths

Jungment am are

and Amendment

Interlocutory Proceedings

proceedings are commenced by a writ of summons which must be in the proceedings and may be generally endorsed, or endorsed with a statement of chim. 11 The writ of summons must be served within 12 months after the of chim. The rules make no provision for what is to happen in the event of choice of the wrong originating process. 13

2005 r 4.07(1) (£ &

ed the court may crea

udge may make order

of Australia and South

hay be commence;

urrency where the

rency of a country

ose currency is the

re damages should

3 All ER 801; [1975] op Wool Marketing Assa

WLR 804, HL; Mium VLR 564 (reversed on Lines Ltd (The Mineral AC 1; [1985] 2 All ER

SR 354; 149 LSJS 401

CTH) High Court

nibition, certiorars,

t of Australia under

1 returns; and

ss suffered.2

by writ)

- 1. (CTH) High Court Rules 2004 r 20,01.
- 2. Ibid r 25.01.
- 4. Ibid rr 25.01(a), 26.01(a), Sch 1 Form 12 (show cause applications), 17 (removal
- 5. Ibid rr 25.01(f) (show cause applications), 26.01(f), 26.02 (removal applications).
- 6. Ibid rr 25.01(g) (show cause applications), 26.01(g) (removal applications).
- 7. Ibid r 30.01.
- 8. Ibid r 30.02.
- 9. Ibid r 30.04.
- 10. Ibid r 27.01(a), Sch 1 Form 20.
- 11. Ibid r 27.01(c).
- 13. However ibid r 2.03 allows the High Court of Australia to make orders when there has been failure to comply with a prescribed procedure.

(c) Federal Court of Australia

[325-1025] Commencement of proceedings The Federal Court of Australia is created by statute¹ and has had conferred upon it substantive jurisdiction by various Commonwealth statutes. All proceedings in the court are referred to as 'proceedings' whether they are between parties or not.2

Notes

- 1. (CTH) Federal Court of Australia Act 1976.
- 2. Ibid s 4.

application for an e.2 Proceedings to cations must be in and must be served s proceedings are to publish copies vspaper circulating the petition on the have responded to r service.9 Other

LexisNexis Butterwies

[325-1030] Form of originating process All originating proceedings in the Federal Court of Australia are to be commenced by application unless the rules otherwise provide or it is otherwise provided by statute. An application must be in the prescribed form³ and must set out the relief claimed, specifically claim any exemplary damages sought, specify any Act relied upon and, if the determination of a question is sought, specify the question. The application The and the accompanied by a statement of claim or be supported by an affidavit. The application must disclose an address for service and the names and addresses of the applicant, the applicant's solicitor and any agent of that solicitor to the applicant, and any agent of that solicitor. If the applicant brings the proceeding in a representative capacity the ipplication must be indorsed to that effect. 10

C LexisNexis Butterworths

594,231

Notes

日くいくに

220

- 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1. Note that the rules do not otherwise 1. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1
- 66 FLR 90; 2 IR 470,
- 3. (CTH) Federal Court Rules O 4 r 1.
- 4. Ibid O 4 r 3.
- 5. Ibid O 4 r 6(1). A statement of claim is required when an applicant seeks to the an allegation of fraud, misrepresentation, breach of trust, wilful default or misrepresentation of trust wilful default or misrepresentation. influence: ibid O 4 r 6(1A). While the rules are otherwise silent on the many general terms an application that involves a disputed question of fact should be application that substantial that substantia accompanied by a statement of claim and an application that substantially companied facts should be seen a question of law to be determined on undisputed facts should be accompanied an affidavit.
- 6. Ibid O 4 r 4(1)(e).
- Ibid O 4 r 4(1)(a).
- 8. Ibid O 4 r 4(1)(c).
- 9. Ibid O 4 r 4(1)(d).
- 10. Ibid O 4 r 4(1)(b).

(d) AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY

[325-1035] Commencement of proceedings In the Australian Capital Territory, proceedings (other than criminal proceedings and appeals) are commenced by filing an 'originating claim' or an 'originating application', or following an 'oral originating application'. If a proceeding is commenced by oral application, an originating application in the same terms must be filed as soon as practicable.2

Notes

- 1. (ACT) Court Procedures Rules 2006 rr 32, 37.
- 2. Ibid r 37(6).

[325-1040] Form of originating process Proceedings must be started by originating application in a number of specified circumstances1 and may be started thus if there is unlikely to be a substantial dispute of fact, if there is no opposing party, or if there is insufficient time to prepare an originating claim? Otherwise proceedings must be commenced by originating claim. 3 Originating process must be in accordance with the prescribed form and include:

- (1) the full name of the plaintiff, along with the plaintiff's address for
- (2) details of capacity if the party sues in a representative capacity; and
- (3) the name of the party's solicitors (if represented).

Originating claims must briefly state the nature of the claim made and the relief sought, including any claim for exemplary or aggravated damage interest to the data and i interest to the date of judgment, and a statement of claim must be attached.

Originating applications must be attached. Originating applications must state the relief sought, list supporting affidavirant state any statute pursuant to the state of the stat state any statute pursuant to which they are made.6

Service 310

594,232

© LexisNexis Bottered

1. (ACT) Court Proce

2. Ibid r 35.

3. Ibid r 33.

4. Ibid rr 50(4) (origin

5. Ibid r 50(1), 50(2), 5

Ibid r 60(3), 60(4), 1

(e) NORTHE

[325-1045] Commenc process provided for by t originating motion mus proceedings, where an Ac and where the rules so r Particular rules requir commenced by originati

- (1) application for pi
- (2) application for re exeat colonia;4
- (3) application for d
- (4) application for fa
- (5) application for an against a prospec
- (6) application for it in respect of the
- (7) application for d a prospective de
- (8) application for a
- (9) application for t
- (10) application for t and
- (11) application that An originating motic any substantial dispute c no pleadings or discove

Apart from proceedi motion, proceedings m provides that proceedin

Notes

1. (NT) Supreme (VIC)

Supreme 2. (NT) Supreme (VIC) Suprem

3. (NT) Suprem

6 LeusNexis Butterworths

and Amendment

Interlocutory Proceedings

do not otherwise provide icers) (1982) 44 ALR 35

applicant seeks to rely , wilful default or under se silent on the matter, a aestion of fact should be that substantially concerns hould be accompanied by

TORY

he Australian Capital igs and appeals) are nating application, or ing is commenced by erms must be filed as

igs must be started by istances1 and may be of fact, if there is no an originating claim. ig claim.3 Originating 1 and include:4 plaintiff's address for

entative capacity; and

: claim made and the ravated damages and m must be attached oporting affidavits and

© LexisNexis Butterate

1. (ACT) Court Procedures Rules 2006 r 34,

2. Ibid r 35.

3. Ibid rr 50(4) (originating claims), 60(7) (originating applications).

5. Ibid r 50(1), 50(2), 50(5). See also Approved forms 2.1-2.6 under these Rules.

6. Ibid r 60(3), 60(4), 60(8).

(e) NORTHERN TERRITORY AND VICTORIA

[325-1045] Commencement of proceedings The forms of originating process provided for by the rules are a writ and an originating motion. An originating motion must be used where there is no defendant to the proceedings, where an Act authorises an application to be made to the court and where the rules so require.2

Particular rules require that the following originating proceedings be commenced by originating motion:

(1) application for punishment for contempt;3

(2) application for relief in the nature of that formerly given by writ of ne exeat colonia;4

(3) application for directions as to how to commence proceedings;5

(4) application for family provision;6

(5) application for an order for the inspection or preservation of property against a prospective defendant;

(6) application for interpleader relief by a person sued in the court for or

in respect of the disputed property; (7) application for discovery to identify a defendant or for discovery from

a prospective defendant; (8) application for a stop order for funds in court; 10

(9) application for the substituted service of foreign process;¹¹

(10) application for the recovery of land by way of summary proceeding; 12 and

(11) application that a minor be made a ward of court. 13

An originating motion may be used where it is unlikely that there will be iny substantial dispute of fact and for that reason it is appropriate that there be no pleadings or discovery. 14

Apart from proceedings that are or may be commenced by originating motion, proceedings must be commenced by writ, 15 except where a statute provides that proceedings must be commenced by petition. 16

Notes

1. (NT) Supreme Court Rules r 4.01

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 4.01. (VIC)

2. (NT) Supreme Court Rules r 4.05

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 4.05. (VIC)

Supreme Court Rules r 75.06(1) (summons or originating motion) 3. (NT)

C Lexis.Nexis Butterworths

594.233

Halsbury's Laws of Australia [325-1045]

> (VIC) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 75,06(1) (supre or originating motion).

4. Ibid r 57.10.

There are no equivalent provisions in the Northern Territory. For the meaning of 'ne exeat colonia' see Glover v Walters (1950) 80 CLR 1755 ALJ 663; [1950] ALR 179.

- Supreme Court Rules r 1.11(3) (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 1.15(3). (VIC)
- Supreme Court (Miscellaneous Civil Proceedings) Rules 2008 r 16/13 (VIC) There are no equivalent provisions in the Northern Territory.
- Supreme Court Rules r 37.03(3) (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 37,03(3). (VIC)
- (NT) Supreme Court Rules r 12.02(4) 8. Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 12.02(4). (VIC)
- Supreme Court Rules r 32.08(1) (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 32.08(1). (VIC)
- Supreme Court Rules r 73.12(3) (by originating motion if there is a (NT) proceeding for which the funds are in court; if there is such a proceeding the application must be made by summons in that proceeding) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 t 73.12(3) & originating motion if there is no proceeding for which the funds are in court; if then is such a proceeding, the application must be made by summons in that proceeding
- Supreme Court Rules r 80.03(4) (to be commenced by the 11. (NT) Attorney-General) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 80.03(4) (to be (VIC) commenced by the Attorney-General).
- Supreme Court Rules r 53.02(2) (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 53,02(2). (VIC)
- Supreme Court (Miscellaneous Civil Proceedings) Rules 2008 r 19.02(1) (VIC) 13. There are no equivalent provisions in the Northern Territory.
- Supreme Court Rules r 4.06 (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 4,06. (VIC)
- Supreme Court Rules r 4.04 (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 4.04. (VIC)
- There are no equivalent provisions in the Northern Territory.

[325-1050] Form of originating process Originating process must be m the prescribed form.1

A writ must contain an indorsement of claim which must be a statement of claim or a statement sufficient to give with reasonable particularity notice of the nature and cause of the claim and of the relief or remedy sought, as we as a statement of the place and mode of trial desired. An originating motion must state the relief or remedy sought, any Act under which the claim is made and any question sought to be answered.4

Where proceedings are commenced by writ and the only claim made by the plaintiff is for a debt, 5 the writ must be indorsed to the effect that the defender may within the time limited for appearance pay the amount claimed and cost whereupon the proceeding whereupon the proceedings come to an end, subject to the right of the defendant to have the plaintiff's costs taxed."

594,234

© LexisNexis Butterson

Any originating [the name and addres and, where the pla jurisdiction, an addı or is sued in a repre with a statement sl

Notes

- (NT) Sur 1. (VIC) Sup
- Suj (NT) 2. (VIC) Su to As [325-2915]-
- (NT) (VIC)
- 4. (NT) (VIC)
- 5. For the me
- 6. (NT) (VIC)
- (NT) (VIC)
- (NT) (VIC)

[325-1055] Co process provided in which there is that must be cor

- (1) for relief
- (2) made for
- (3) based on (4) made for
- or dama: (5) for relief in writin
- (6) for the 1
- (7) under th (8) in relation
- A summons ma (1) a writ (
 - (2) an orde
 - (3) the app
 - (4) a declar (5) an injui

C LexisNexis Butte

Judgment and Execution

and Amendment

Interlocutory Proceedings

Any originating process must be indorsed with the addresses of the parties, Any originates of the plaintiff's solicitor and of the agent of such solicitor the plaintiff sues in person and disclared the perso the name and discloses an address outside the md, where the plaintiff sues in person and discloses an address outside the and, where the purisdiction, an address for service within the jurisdiction. Where a party sues or is sted in a representative capacity, the originating process must be indorsed with a statement showing that capacity.8

Notes

r 75.06(1) (summer

950) 80 CLR 172;2

05 r 1.15(3). .ules 2008 r 16.03

05 r 37.03(3).

05 r 12.02(4).

05 r 32.08(1).

notion if there is no

ch a proceeding, it.

2005 r 73.12(3) h

ls are in court; if there

is in that proceeding:

ommenced by the

05 r 80.03(4) (to be

)5 r 53.02(2). ules 2008 r 19.02(1)

)5 r 4.06.

15 r 4.04.

rocess must be in

be a statement of

cularity notice of

/ sought, as well

iginating motion

the claim is made

laim made by the

hat the defending

claimed and cost

the right of the

Supreme Court Rules r 5.02 (NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.02. 1. (VIC)

Supreme Court Rules r 5.04 (NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.04. As to the amendment of the indorsement on originating process see (VIC) [325-2915]-[325-2925].

Supreme Court Rules r 5.04 (place of trial only) (NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.08. (VIC)

Supreme Court Rules r 5.05 4. (NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.05. (VIC)

5. For the meaning of 'debt' see [325-1090] note 4.

Supreme Court Rules r 5.09 6. (NT) Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.09.

(VIC) Supreme Court Rules r 5.07(1)

(NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.07(1). (VIC)

Supreme Court Rules r 5.06 (NT)

Supreme Court (General Civil Procedure) Rules 2005 r 5.06. (VIC)

(f) NEW SOUTH WALES

[325-1055] Commencement of proceedings The forms of originating process provided for are the summons and the statement of claim. Proceedings in which there is no defendant must be commenced by summons.2 Proceedings that must be commenced by a statement of claim include claims:³

(1) for relief in relation to a debt or liquidated claim;

(2) made for any relief or remedy in tort;

(3) based on an allegation of fraud;

(4) made for damages for breach of duty in respect of death, personal injury or damage to property;

(5) for relief in respect of any trust which is not an express trust wholly in writing;

(6) for the possession of land;

(7) under the (NSW) Property (Relationships) Act 1984; and

(8) in relation to the publication of defamatory matter. A summons may be used to initiate proceedings for:4

(1) a writ of habeas corpus;

(2) an order relating to the custody of a minor;

Service 310

C LexisNexis Butterworths

(3) the appointment of a tutor; (4) a declaration of right; (5) an injunction;

594,235

exisNexis Butternit